

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	7
PREFACE .....	9
FOREWORD .....	13
SUMMARY .....	17

### PART 1. INTRODUCTION : FROM ETHIC TO IA LAW

#### TITLE 1. ETHICAL, LEGAL AND SOCIETAL ISSUES OF AI

INTRODUCTION.....	29
THE FIGURES OF ETHICS IN EUROPEAN LAW ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE .....	33
INTRODUCTION.....	33
I. – KINETICS OF ETHICS .....	35
A. <i>Principles</i> ... ..	35
B. <i>... to standardization</i> .....	37
II. – JURIDICITY OF ETHICS .....	38
A. <i>A satellite of law</i> .....	39
B. <i>A balance for law</i> .....	40

TITLE 2. NORMS AND POLICIES  
FOR AI SYSTEMS

LAWYER’S PERSPECTIVE ON TECHNO-THEORIES .....	51
INTRODUCTION.....	51
I. – THE ANTI-EXCEPTIONALISM .....	52
II. – THE EXCEPTIONALISM .....	54
A. <i>Technophobes/Technophiles</i> .....	55
1. The Technophobes .....	55
2. The Technophiles .....	57
a. The Technophile Humanist.....	57
b. The Evolutionary Technophile .....	58
B. <i>Classification According to the Neutrality and Autonomy             of the Technology</i> .....	60
1. Jacques Ellul’s Technological System.....	62
2. The Essence of Technology According to Martin Heidegger.....	64
CONCLUSION .....	67
THE EUROPEAN UNION’S POLICY ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: BETWEEN A SECTORIAL AND A CROSS-CUTTING APPROACH.....	69
INTRODUCTION.....	69
I. – THE CHOICE OF CROSS-SECTIONAL LEGISLATION .....	72
A. <i>The stakes of the choice between tailor-made             or general legislation</i> .....	72
1. The minimalist approach .....	73
2. Tailored approach .....	73
B. <i>The flexibility of horizontal options</i> .....	76
1. The granularity of the prohibition framework: the legal framework of real-time remote biometric identification of individuals in publicly accessible spaces for law enforcement purposes.....	76

2. A specific transparency framework that overlaps with the risk pyramid for AI systems that pose risks of manipulation.....	79
II. – LIMITS TO THE CROSS-CUTTING NATURE OF AI REGULATION.....	79
A. <i>Maintaining sector-specific rules</i> .....	79
1. High-risk AI systems constituting product security components.....	80
2. AI systems provided or used by licensed credit institutions.....	81
B. <i>Prospects for new sector-specific regulations</i> .....	82
1. Institutional positions in favor of a more sectoral approach.....	82
2. Future normative adjustments .....	84

**PART 2. AI LAW:  
SECTORAL RULES AND RISK-BASED NORMS**

**TITLE 1. ADMINISTRATION AND AI**

**SECTION 1. SOCIAL ADMINISTRATION AND AI**

ONLINE AGE VERIFICATION: AI AS A SOLUTION?.....	93
INTRODUCTION.....	93
I. – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, A VERIFICATION SOLUTION WHOSE RELIABILITY NEEDS TO BE ASSESSED .....	96
A. <i>Determining the expected level of reliability</i> .....	97
B. <i>Determining the level of reliability provided by artificial intelligence systems</i> .....	100
II. – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, A VERIFICATION SOLUTION WHOSE LEGALITY MUST BE ASSESSED .....	103
A. <i>The legality of the artificial intelligence system regarding the principle of minimization</i> .....	103
B. <i>The legality of the artificial intelligence system regarding the principle of proportionality</i> .....	105

DIGITAL ADMINISTRATION AND POVERTY: WELFARE STATE IN THE FACE OF AUTOMATED SYSTEMS .....	111
INTRODUCTION.....	111
I. – ON THE DIGITALIZATION OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES .....	113
A. <i>Elements of the automated decision</i> .....	114
B. <i>From human assistance to algorithmic assistance</i> .....	116
C. <i>The technological institutionalization of surveillance             policies</i> .....	118
II. – THE CONSTITUENT TO THE TEST OF THE CONTROL SYSTEMS .....	121
A. <i>Control systems at the risk of the rule of law</i> .....	121
B. <i>End of welfare, end of the social contract?</i> .....	123
SECTION 2. JUSTICE ADMINISTRATION AND AI	
HUMAN RIGHTS, DIGITAL TECHNOLOGY AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE PERSPECTIVE.....	129
I. – THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE’S EXPERIENCE OF TECHNOLOGY REGULATION.....	131
A. <i>Presentation of the Council of Europe</i> .....	131
B. <i>Regulation of non-digital technologies</i> .....	132
C. <i>Regulation of digital technologies</i> .....	133
II. – THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE’S EARLY WORK ON ALGORITHMS, BIG DATA AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.....	134
A. <i>Definition of concepts used by the Council of Europe</i> .....	134
B. <i>Work on algorithms, Big Data and artificial intelligence             prior to 2019</i> .....	134
1. The work of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) .....	134
2. The work of the Committee of Ministers.....	135
3. Sectoral work .....	136
4. The convergence of work on algorithms, Big Data and artificial intelligence.....	137

III. – THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE’S TRANSVERSAL AND HORIZONTAL INITIATIVES TO REGULATE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE .....	138
A. <i>The political process for the development of legal standards on artificial intelligence</i> .....	138
B. <i>The Ad Hoc Committee on Artificial Intelligence (CAHAI)</i> .....	139
1. The launch of CAHAI .....	139
2. The work of CAHAI.....	140
3. The results of CAHAI’s work.....	141
4. The creation of the Committee on Artificial Intelligence (CAI) to prepare a draft legal instrument .....	142
IV. – THE COUNCIL OF EUROPE’S SPECIALIZED AND VERTICAL INITIATIVES TO REGULATE ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE .....	143
A. <i>Instruments adopted by the Committee of Ministers</i> .....	143
B. <i>Other work of the specialized sectors relating to artificial intelligence</i> .....	144
V. – COORDINATION WITH OTHER INTERGOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS, THE PRIVATE SECTOR, CIVIL SOCIETY AND ACADEMIA.....	145
A. <i>Cooperation and coordination with other intergovernmental organizations</i> .....	146
1. Formal coordination between intergovernmental organizations .....	146
2. The natural coordination of texts resulting from the mandates of intergovernmental organizations ...	146
3. The challenge of harmonizing a legal definition of artificial intelligence between intergovernmental organizations .....	147
4. Other signs of coherence in work and policies among intergovernmental organizations .....	148
5. The particular coordination between the Council of Europe and the European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA).....	149
B. <i>Cooperation with the private sector</i> .....	149

C. <i>Cooperation with the academic community</i> .....	150
D. <i>Cooperation with civil society</i> .....	150
JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE AND THE CORPORATE ‘CUSTODIANS’ OF DIGITAL TOOLS: A CALL TO SCRUTINIZE RELIANCE ON PRIVATE PLATFORMS AS ‘ESSENTIAL INFRASTRUCTURE’ .....	153
INTRODUCTION .....	153
I. – INADVERTENTLY TRANSPOSING OPACITY AND THE ‘SURVEILLANCE CAPITALISM’ MODEL TO JUSTICE? .....	155
II. – IN THE INTERIM – DRITTWIRKUNG AND CONSIDERING FIDUCIARY DUTIES .....	156
III. – CONTEXT: A FEW WORDS ON JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE .....	157
IV. – JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE WITH ZOOM ET AL. AS ‘CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE’ .....	158
V. – TORONTO QUAYSIDE PROJECT/SIDEWALK LABS: A CAUTIONARY TALE .....	160
VI. – COURTS “AWASH IN DATA” .....	162
VII. – DEEPPAKES DURING AND POST “CYBERPANDEMIC” .....	164
CONCLUSION – CAUTIONING AGAINST AN “ALGOCRACY” AND THE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SHIFTS JUSTICE TO PRIVATE HANDS .....	165
END-TO-END LEGAL PREDICTION: AN AI-COMPLETE PROBLEM? .....	167
INTRODUCTION .....	167
I. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE .....	169
A. <i>The symbolic approach</i> .....	169
1. The early days of AI .....	169
2. Expert systems .....	170
3. <i>The symbolic approach in AI &amp; Law</i> .....	171
B. <i>Machine Learning</i> .....	171
1. Datasets .....	173
2. Machine Learning in AI & Law .....	173
C. <i>The Limits of AI – AI complete problems</i> .....	174

II. PREDICTIVE JUSTICE .....	175
A. <i>Purpose of Systems</i> .....	176
1. Increasing insight into the judicial system for the public ...	176
2. Increase access to justice for parties .....	176
3. Efficiency and consistency for judges.....	177
B. <i>Data sources</i> .....	179
1. Available data sources.....	180
2. Preparing the data.....	181
III. AUTOMATING THE STEPS OF LEGAL DECISION MAKING .....	183
A. <i>Step 1 – Finding of facts</i> .....	184
1. Automating finding of facts.....	185
B. <i>Step 2 – Establishing fulfilled legal criteria based on facts</i> .....	186
1. Automating establishing of legal criteria.....	188
a. Lack of evidence considerations .....	189
b. Difficulties of coding .....	189
c. Frequency of cases and new factors .....	191
d. Lack of adaptation to change, policy considerations....	192
C. <i>Step 3 – Applying legal rules to legal criteria</i> .....	192
1. Automating applying rules to legal criteria .....	193
D. <i>Step 4 – Determining outcome</i> .....	194
1. Automating determining outcome.....	195
E. <i>Step 5 – Explaining the decision</i> .....	196
1. Automating explaining the decision .....	198
IV. DISCUSSION.....	200
A. <i>Is legal prediction possible?</i> .....	200
B. <i>Is legal prediction useful?</i> .....	202
C. <i>Focus on case-law</i> .....	202
D. <i>Will law become more static?</i> .....	204

V. OTHER APPROACHES ..... 205

    A. *Hybrid systems* ..... 206

    B. *Augmented intelligence* ..... 207

    C. *Online Dispute Resolution* ..... 208

CONCLUSION ..... 210

SECTION 3. POLICE ADMINISTRATION AND AI

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND HOMELAND SECURITY ..... 215

    INTRODUCTION ..... 215

    I. – DATA AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ..... 216

    II. – DATA, A POTENTIAL SOURCE OF CONFUSION ..... 217

    III. – DATA TO BE ENHANCED BY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE  
             FOR A BETTER PROTECTION OF POPULATIONS ..... 221

    IV. – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AT THE CENTER OF NEW PERSPECTIVES  
             FOR HOMELAND SECURITY ..... 222

    V. – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND CRIME ANTICIPATION ..... 226

    VI. – ETHICS, ESSENTIAL TO THE DEVELOPMENT  
             OF A REASONED ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ..... 228

TITLE 2. HEALTH AND AI

WHICH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE FOR AUGMENTED MEDICINE? ..... 233

    I. – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MACHINE LEARNING AND HEALTH ..... 233

        A. *Can we define what artificial intelligence is?* ..... 234

        B. *What is a weak AI or a strong AI?* ..... 234

        C. *An AI concept but algorithms* ..... 236

        D. *Machine Learning* ..... 238

    II. – EXAMPLES OF TOOLS USED IN ML ..... 239

        A. *Linear regression* ..... 239

        B. *K-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)* ..... 240

        C. *Decision trees* ..... 241



TABLE OF CONTENTS	953
D. <i>Random forest</i> .....	241
E. <i>Support Vector Machine (SVM)</i> .....	243
F. <i>Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)</i> .....	244
III. – AI LIMITS TO CONSIDER .....	244
A. <i>Noise robustness</i> .....	245
B. <i>Dataset bias</i> .....	245
C. <i>Overfitting</i> .....	246
D. <i>Interpretability and explainability: necessary tools         in the medical context</i> .....	247
V. – CERTIFIABILITY TO SUPPORT THE ACCEPTABILITY OF AI IN MEDICINE...	251
A. <i>Certifiability</i> .....	251
B. <i>Acceptability</i> .....	251
COMPARATIVE LAW PERSPECTIVES ON THE RECOGNITION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF HUMAN GUARANTEE OF AI.....	253
INTRODUCTION.....	253
I. – THE FOUNDATIONS OF THE HUMAN GUARANTEE PRINCIPLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HEALTH.....	255
II. – THE RECOGNITION OF THE PRINCIPLE OF HUMAN GUARANTEE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HEALTH IN FRANCE, IN EUROPE AND BY THE WHO.....	258
A. <i>The pilot methodology of the Human Guarantee             Colleges for AI solutions in health</i> .....	261
1. Presentation .....	261
2. The Human Guarantee College pilot project conducted by Ethik-IA under the aegis of the UFSBD .....	262
3. Prospects for the deployment of Human Guarantee Colleges.....	263
CONCLUSION.....	264

THE FRENCH PARADOX OF THE HALFTONE LEGISLATIVE INTERVENTION ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HEALTH BY THE BIOETHICS LAW OF AUGUST 2, 2021.....	265
INTRODUCTION.....	265
I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF HUMAN GUARANTEE NOT EXPLICITLY RECOGNIZED .....	267
A. <i>The principle of human guarantee sacrificed         by the sidelining of the health professional.....</i>	268
1. The questionable substitution of the health professional by third parties when it comes to information .....	268
2. The effects of reducing the role of the health professional when using a digital device .....	270
B. <i>The human guarantee annihilated by a new digital         paternalism .....</i>	272
1. The patient is excluded from the medical decision to use a medical device that includes algorithmic data processing based on massive data.....	273
2. The necessary restoration of the medical relationship consolidated by the principle of human guarantee.....	275
II. – THE PRINCIPLE OF LIMITED EXPLICABILITY .....	277
A. <i>The principle of explicability limited to the usual         functioning of algorithmic processing.....</i>	277
1. Functional explicability, a skillful way of diverting the internal explicability of algorithmic processing.....	278
2. The risk of “blind” medical practice if the algorithmic processing cannot be explained.....	278
B. <i>The principle of explicability to be extended         to the internal functioning of algorithms.....</i>	280
1. The challenge of explicability difficult, but not impossible .....	281
2. The search for new means to make algorithmic processing internally explainable .....	281

## TITLE 3. ECONOMIC SECTORS AND AI

## SECTION 1. MARKET, COMPETITION AND AI

HOW TO REGULATE AI? THE INSPIRATION OF ECONOMIC LAW .....	287
INTRODUCTION.....	287
I. – THE SUBSTANTIVE UNDERSTANDING OF AI BY ECONOMIC LAW.....	291
A. <i>Substantial analysis of power in A.I.:       data and algorithms</i> .....	292
B. <i>Algorithms and data versus legal rules</i> .....	295
II. – FACING THE CHALLENGES OF A.I.: THE POTENTIAL OF ECONOMIC LAW .....	299
A. <i>From big data to smart data</i> .....	300
B. <i>From a “risk-based approach”       to a “rights-based approach”</i> .....	303
CONCLUSION.....	305
SOLIDARITY AND COMPETITION: A (RE)CONCILIATION ON THE DIGITAL MARKET .....	307
INTRODUCTION.....	307
I. – THE CONFISCATION OF THE DIGITAL MARKET RESTRAINED.....	311
A. <i>The competitive virtues of sharing</i> .....	311
1. The free or forced sharing.....	312
a. The open sharing .....	313
b. The forced sharing .....	314
2. The interoperability.....	317
B. <i>The brakes on monopolistic tendencies</i> .....	319
1. Hostility against favoritism practices.....	320
2. Controversy over the capture of other people’s resources .....	324

II. – THE CAPTURE OF PROFITABILITY FOILED.....	325
A. <i>Fair remuneration</i> .....	326
1. An equitable remuneration.....	326
a. Obligation to pay a fair remuneration .....	326
b. Obligation to set fair remuneration.....	328
2. A fair cooperation .....	329
B. <i>A possible counterparty</i> .....	333
1. The access to its customers’ data .....	333
2. The application of the GDPR without excess .....	334
CONCLUSION .....	335
THROUGH THE LOOKING GLASS: AI, MERGERS AND THE ROLE OF COMPETITION LAW IN DIGITAL GOVERNANCE .....	337
INTRODUCTION.....	337
I. – LAYING THE FOUNDATION: DEFINING A MANAGEABLE FRAME OF ANALYSIS.....	340
A. <i>Situating the analysis within a legal and policy context:             using Canada as a foil</i> .....	342
B. <i>The case for precision: moving away from a general             definition of AI</i> .....	346
II. – CONNECTING THE DOTS: ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, MARKETS AND DATA .....	349
A. <i>Removing the ghost from the machine: giving a shape             to artificial intelligence</i> .....	349
B. <i>Merger law in Canada</i> .....	353
1. Overview.....	353
2. Brief overview of substantive merger review in Canada...	354
3. The Analytical Framework Applicable to Merger Review ...	355
4. Looking ahead – amendments to the Act and the modernization process .....	358

III. – EXTRACTION AND CONTROL: WHAT INPUTS INTO AI REVEAL ABOUT THE CONSEQUENCES OF CONCENTRATION, AND MARKET POWER IN THE DIGITAL WORLD ..... 362

    A. *Extraction of natural resources*..... 362

    B. *Labor* ..... 364

    C. *Data* ..... 367

IV. – CONCLUSION: THE CASE FOR USING COMPETITION LAW TO FILL THE DIGITAL GOVERNANCE GAP ..... 369

REGULATING THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: THE FRENCH ADVERTISING REGULATION AUTHORITY’S “MODEL” ..... 373

    INTRODUCTION..... 373

    I. – THE FIRST STEPS TOWARD AI-BASED REGULATION: THE PIONEERING ROLE OF ARPP ..... 376

        A. *An ARPP response to the renewed advertising ecosystem*..... 377

        B. *ARPP’s desire to make regulation by AI evolutive* ..... 378

    II. – REGULATION BY AI REINFORCED: THE “PILOT” ROLE OF ARPP ..... 379

        A. *A project subject to an ethical framework: self-regulation through AI* ..... 379

        B. *A project responding to a new challenge: regulatory sovereignty*..... 380

SECTION 2. MARKET LABOR AND AI

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND LABOR LAW ..... 385

    I. – THE NEW TECHNOLOGICAL ORDER..... 390

        A. *The guarantee of individual rights* ..... 392

            1. Normative tools ..... 393

                a. Protection of the health and safety of workers ..... 393

                b. Protection of the person of employees ..... 395

            2. The normative method ..... 402

                a. The effectiveness of the regulation ..... 403

                b. The efficiency of the regulation ..... 406

B. <i>The guarantees of collective law</i> .....	408
1. The role of the Social and Economic Committee.....	408
a. Information consultation of the CSE.....	409
b. Other prerogatives of the <i>Comité Social d'Entreprise</i>	412
2. The input of collective bargaining .....	413
II. – THE FUTURE OF CYBER-WORK.....	416
A. <i>The myth of the dehumanization of work</i> .....	416
1. The creative destruction .....	417
2. The Legal System .....	418
B. <i>The risk of dehumanizing workers</i> .....	419
1. The development of micro-labor .....	420
2. The augmented workers.....	422

SECTION 3. INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION MARKET,  
MANIPULATION AND AI

FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION IN THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA FACED WITH THE RISK OF PROPAGANDA GENERATED BY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.....	427
INTRODUCTION.....	427
I. – THE PRINCIPLE OF IMMUNITY OF DIGITAL PLATFORMS WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTENT THEY DISTRIBUTE .....	432
A. <i>The de facto immunity of digital platforms with respect             to distributed content</i> .....	433
B. <i>The Canadian search for a notice and takedown             regime</i> .....	437
II. – THE IMPOSSIBLE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE DAMAGE SUSTAINED, OR THE NECESSARY IMPLEMENTATION OF A PREVENTIVE LAW.....	439
III. – AI-GENERATED CONTENT AGAINST FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION .....	442
CONCLUSION .....	451

THE ILLUSORY PROHIBITION OF MANIPULATIVE PRACTICES IN THE EUROPEAN DRAFT REGULATION ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE .....	453
INTRODUCTION .....	453
I. – THE FLAWED FRAMEWORK FOR MANIPULATIVE PRACTICES .....	457
A. <i>Commendable objectives</i> .....	458
B. <i>Unclear and uncertain criteria</i> .....	461
II. – UNCERTAINTY OF IMPLEMENTATION .....	468
A. <i>Difficulties of implementation</i> .....	468
1. The implementation of the prohibition .....	469
2. The prohibition’s enforcement .....	471
B. <i>A modest contribution to the legal framework             on manipulation</i> .....	473
CONCLUSION.....	476

### PART 3. TOWARDS A COMPREHENSIVE REGIME OF AI LAW?

#### TITLE 1. DATA AND AI GOVERNANCE

AI DATA GOVERNANCE OR THE ADVENT OF A NEW NORMATIVE ERA IN DIGITAL LAW.....	481
INTRODUCTION.....	481
I. – OPENING ACCESS TO DATA FOR THE BENEFIT OF A “DATA PROTECTION AND EXPLOITATION” SYNERGY .....	485
A. <i>The European topography of data flow</i> .....	485
B. <i>Expanding the ways in which data is shared</i> .....	490
II. – THE TRANSITION TO AN ERA OF “SYSTEMIC DIGITAL TRUST” .....	495
A. <i>Strengthening subjective digital trust</i> .....	495
B. <i>The genesis of objective (digital) trust</i> .....	500
CONCLUSION.....	504

THE DATA GOVERNANCE REGULATION OR THE EMERGENCE OF A EUROPEAN MODEL.....	507
INTRODUCTION.....	507
I. – A GOVERNANCE MODEL BASED ON FACILITATED ACCESS TO DATA.....	512
A. <i>Re-use of public sector data: a complementary           approach to the open data policy</i> .....	512
1. The scope of the principle of re-use of public sector data.....	513
2. Guiding principles for the free re-use of public sector data.....	514
B. <i>The availability of data by private actors:           the establishment of a new model</i> .....	516
1. Data sharing for commercial purposes.....	516
2. Sharing data for altruistic purposes.....	519
II. – A GOVERNANCE MODEL DEPENDENT ON TRUST.....	520
A. <i>Trust linked to the reality of personal data protection</i> ...	520
1. Linkage with the General Data Protection Regulation....	521
2. The reality of people’s power over their data.....	524
B. <i>Confidence linked to the roles of private and public           actors</i> .....	525
1. The role of trusted third parties.....	526
2. The role of the authorities designated by the Member States.....	528
INNOVATING AHEAD OF LEGAL CHANGE: THE CASE OF SIDEWALK TORONTO.....	533
INTRODUCTION.....	533
I. – DATAFICATION.....	536
A. <i>Privacy</i> .....	538
B. <i>Data “ownership”</i> .....	540
C. <i>Data governance</i> .....	542



TABLE OF CONTENTS	961
II. – HOW SIDEWALK TORONTO CHANGED CANADIAN LAW AND POLICY.....	544
CONCLUSION .....	548
TITLE 2. AI SYSTEMS UNDER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW	
COPYRIGHT AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: A CANADIAN OVERVIEW...	553
INTRODUCTION .....	553
I. – CONTEXTUALIZATION .....	553
II. – THE NOTION OF AUTHORSHIP .....	556
III. – THE ISSUE OF LEARNING .....	560
CONCLUSION .....	564
THE PATENTABLE INVENTION TO THE TEST OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE .....	567
INTRODUCTION .....	567
I. – THE RECEPTION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE BY PATENT LAW .....	569
A. <i>The protection of artificial intelligence applications         by patent</i> .....	569
B. <i>The patentability of inventions resulting from AI</i> .....	577
II. – THE INVENTOR CHALLENGED BY THE ALGORITHM: BETWEEN AUTOMATION AND INCREASED INVENTIVE ACTIVITY .....	581
A. <i>The inescapable inventor</i> .....	582
B. <i>The DABUS case, a questioning of the designation         of “inventor – natural person”</i> .....	584
CONCLUSION .....	589

## TITLE 3. LIABILITY AND AI SYSTEMS

<i>EX ANTE</i> ACCOUNTABILITY IN THE AI ACT: BETWEEN CERTIFICATION AND STANDARDIZATION, IN PURSUIT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS .....	597
INTRODUCTION.....	597
I. – COMPLIANCE OBLIGATIONS OF HIGH-RISK AIS: PREDOMINANCE OF AI TECHNICITY-RELATED STANDARDS TO PROTECT FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS .....	604
A. <i>Data, statistical analysis and confidentiality of personal           data (AIA, Art. 10)</i> .....	604
B. <i>Risk of error, quality, accuracy, robustness           (AIA, Art. 15)</i> .....	607
C. <i>Opacity and explicability of an AI system (AIA, Art. 13)</i> ...	609
D. <i>Bias and Discrimination (AIA, Art. 10 and 15)</i> .....	611
II. – CONFORMITY CONTROL OF HIGH-RISK AISs: PREDOMINANCE OF STANDARDIZATION AT THE EXPENSE OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS .....	616
A. <i>Substantial obligations of proof of conformity           (AIA, Art. 11 and 12)</i> .....	616
B. <i>Certification regime of AIS by notified bodies           (external control)</i> .....	618
C. <i>Standardization regime and presumption of conformity           of AISs (internal control)</i> .....	619
CONCLUSION .....	623
THE DATA PROTECTION IMPACT ASSESSMENT OR RATHER THE PRIVACY IMPACT ASSESSMENT, A REVOLUTION WITH A FUTURE IN THE AGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE?.....	627
INTRODUCTION.....	627
CONCLUSION .....	647
HOW TO PROGRAM THE (CIVIL) CODE TO FIGHT LEGAL OBSOLESCENCE? AN EXAMINATION OF LIABILITY REGIMES FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN QUÉBEC LAW .....	653
INTRODUCTION.....	653

I. – TOWARDS A “CREATIVE ADAPTATION” OF LIABILITY REGIMES  
IN RELATION TO ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ..... 657

    A. *The general regime of liability for property* ..... 658

        1. The act of a thing [property] ..... 659

        2. Custody of the thing [property] ..... 660

    B. *The regime of liability for animals* ..... 662

    C. *The regime of liability for defective goods* ..... 665

II. – TOWARDS AN “ADAPTED CREATION” OF A COMPENSATION REGIME  
FOR THE FACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ..... 668

CONCLUSION ..... 670

CIVIL LIABILITY ASSOCIATED WITH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE  
IN THE EUROPEAN UNION..... 673

    INTRODUCTION..... 673

    I. – ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE..... 676

        A. *History and definition*..... 676

        B. *Potential harms*..... 680

    II. – CIVIL LIABILITY ..... 685

        A. *Different types of regimes in the face of AI*..... 685

        B. *The AI Liability Directive*..... 689

    III. – THE PRODUCT LIABILITY DIRECTIVE..... 691

        A. *The PLD today*..... 691

        B. *The revised PLD* ..... 696

    CONCLUSION ..... 698

MEANINGFUL HUMAN CONTROL TO DETECT ALGORITHMIC ERRORS.... 701

    INTRODUCTION..... 701

    I. – A CLASSIFICATION OF HUMAN CONTROL MECHANISMS..... 704

        A. *System oversight* ..... 706

        B. *Individual review* ..... 707

C. <i>Individual ex ante review</i> .....	708
D. <i>Individual ex post review</i> .....	710
II. – TYPES OF AI ERRORS.....	710
A. <i>Bias or random error?</i> .....	711
B. <i>Base rate fallacy</i> .....	712
C. <i>Causes of bias</i> .....	713
D. <i>The particular vulnerabilities of neural networks</i> .....	714
E. <i>Predictions that are statistically correct but wrong         in an individual case</i> .....	714
III. – LEGAL REQUIREMENTS FOR HUMAN CONTROL.....	716
A. <i>The requirements for ex ante «system» oversight</i> .....	716
1. <i>Ex ante “system” oversight in the definition             of algorithmic rules</i> .....	716
2. <i>The requirements for ex ante individual human review             for each decision</i> .....	718
a. <i>Facial recognition decisions</i> .....	718
b. <i>Terrorist threat detection algorithms</i> .....	719
c. <i>Algorithms for the detection and removal of online                 terrorist content</i> .....	720
d. <i>Judicial or administrative decisions based                 on algorithmic results</i> .....	721
B. <i>Human review after the decision has taken effect         (ex post)</i> .....	725
1. <i>The requirement for ex post system oversight</i> .....	725
2. <i>Requirements for individual ex post review</i> .....	725
a. <i>Right to challenge provided for in laws                 on the protection of personal data</i> .....	725
b. <i>A right to contest automated content removal</i> .....	727
c. <i>The right of platform workers, after an algorithmic                 decision, to have a discussion with a human                 representative</i> .....	728
C. <i>Proposed European AI Act</i> .....	729

IV. – BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE HUMAN CONTROLS, INCLUDING COGNITIVE BIASES .....	730
V. – HOW CAN THE REGULATORY FRAMEWORK BE IMPROVED TO ENCOURAGE MEANINGFUL HUMAN CONTROL? .....	735
LEGAL EVIDENCE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS .....	741
INTRODUCTION .....	741
I. – GLOBAL CONTEXT .....	742
II. – POSITION OF THE CONTRIBUTION .....	743
II. – IMPACT OF ALGORITHMIC BIASES IN A.I. ....	745
A. <i>Automated learning for decision making</i> .....	745
B. <i>Sources of bias in machine learning</i> .....	747
C. <i>The legal framework of algorithmic biases</i> .....	748
1. The consideration of algorithmic bias' risk .....	748
2. Bias control: the proposal for a European regulation on AI systems .....	750
D. <i>Discrimination Indicators</i> .....	754
1. Introduction .....	754
2. Indicators of group discrimination .....	754
E. <i>The choice between different indicators</i> .....	756
1. The link between legal discrimination and technical bias .....	756
2. The different types of <i>fairness</i> .....	756
3. The dilemma of metrics .....	757
4. The limit of mathematics .....	758
III. – UBIQUITY OF THE RANDOMNESS IN MACHINE LEARNING .....	759
A. <i>Sources of randomness in machine learning</i> .....	759
B. <i>Statistical evidence and positive law</i> .....	762
1. Introduction .....	762
2. The DNA fingerprint in criminal law .....	763
a. The paternity test in parentage law .....	765
IV. – CONCLUSION .....	767

EVIDENCE AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: PROOF TECHNIQUES VERSUS TECHNICAL PROOF .....	771
INTRODUCTION.....	771
I. – PROOF TECHNIQUES ADAPTED TO AI .....	773
A. <i>AI: Evolution or Revolution of the Rules of Evidence?</i> ....	773
1. The Disruption.....	774
2. The Weight of Tradition .....	776
B. <i>Digital Adaptation of Evidence</i> .....	778
1. Eligibility of Digital Records.....	778
2. The Probative Force Applicable to AI.....	779
II. – THE TECHNICALIZATION OF AI EVIDENCE.....	781
A. <i>The Generalized Technicization of Proof by AI</i> .....	782
1. The Generalization of the Proof to Oneself.....	782
2. The Generalization of the Reference to Standards.....	786
B. <i>The Technical Specificities of AI Proof</i> .....	788
1. The Proof of Explicability .....	788
2. Evidence and Respect for Fundamental Freedoms.....	789

## PART 4. ONE OR MORE AI LAWS: A QUESTION OF CULTURE?

### TITLE 1. AI REGULATION AT THE NATIONAL AND REGIONAL LEVELS: SOME EXAMPLES

REGULATING AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS IN CANADA.....	795
INTRODUCTION.....	795
I. – AI SYSTEMS UNDER CANADIAN LAW .....	799
A. <i>Liability for AI-Generated Harms</i> .....	799
B. <i>Contracting with AI</i> .....	803
C. <i>Data Protection in the Age of AI</i> .....	808
D. <i>AI in the Administrative State</i> .....	813

TABLE OF CONTENTS	967
II. – THE COMPLEX PATH TO ALGORITHMIC ACCOUNTABILITY .....	818
A. <i>Accountability Through the Classifications         of Autonomous Systems in Legal Ontologies</i> .....	818
B. <i>Accountability Through Explanations         and Transparency</i> .....	821
C. <i>Canada’s Regulatory Hesitancy and Challenges</i> .....	824
AI REGULATION IN BRAZIL: DETAILING THE CURRENT LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL .....	829
I. – INTRODUCTION .....	829
II. – BILL OF LAW NO. 21/2020 .....	831
III. – CONCLUSION .....	847
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN MEXICO: LEGAL MAPPING TO GUIDE THE CONQUEST.....	849
INTRODUCTION .....	849
I. – THE CARDINAL POINTS OF AI REGULATION IN MEXICO .....	855
A. <i>The OECD and the EU as a compass</i> .....	855
B. <i>Towards the UN Sustainable Development Goals</i> .....	858
C. <i>The UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of AI:             A possible rudder for regulation in Mexico</i> .....	860
II. – NATIONAL INSTRUMENTS FOR REGULATING AI IN MEXICO.....	866
A. <i>Government and Public Services</i> .....	867
B. <i>Research and development</i> .....	870
C. <i>Capacity, skills and education</i> .....	873
D. <i>Data and digital infrastructure</i> .....	874
1. <i>National digital infrastructure</i> .....	875
2. <i>Training databases of Mexican origin</i> .....	876
3. <i>Consolidation of personal data protection regulations</i> ....	878
E. <i>Ethics and regulation</i> .....	881
CONCLUSION .....	883

THE LAW OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AFRICA: TOWARDS A LEGAL FRAMEWORK FOR CAPABILITIES .....	887
INTRODUCTION.....	887
I. – PERSPECTIVE: THE EXTENSION OF TRADITIONAL LEGAL RULES TO ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS.....	889
A. <i>Privacy-proofing AI</i> .....	889
B. <i>AI captured by digital uses</i> .....	892
II. – PROSPECTIVE: A PLURAL LEGAL GOVERNANCE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS.....	894
A. <i>The beginnings of a global regulation of AI</i> .....	894
B. <i>The coexistence of the global and the sectoral</i> .....	896
CONCLUSION .....	898

## TITLE 2. AI REGULATION AT THE INTERNATIONAL LEVEL

THE EMERGING INTERNATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORK APPLICABLE TO ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.....	901
INTRODUCTION.....	901
I. – AN HETEROGENEOUS FRAMEWORK FOR ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE.....	902
A. <i>The fragmentation of International law applied             to digital activities</i> .....	902
B. <i>Issues raised by the conceptualizing of “artificial             intelligence”: nature, process, and purposes</i> .....	903
II. – VARIETY OF INSTITUTIONAL ACTORS.....	905
A. <i>International organizations and their bodies</i> .....	905
B. <i>Inter-institutional and intergovernmental cooperation</i> ...	909
III. – VARIETY OF INSTRUMENTS.....	911
A. <i>Generalist approach – the adoption of specific rules             for artificial intelligence</i> .....	912
B. <i>Sectoral approach – the adaptation and interpretation             of pre-existing rules</i> .....	913
CONCLUSION .....	915



THE GEOPOLITICAL ROLE OF SOCIAL MEDIA COMPANIES  
IN THE ROHINGYA CRISIS..... 917

    INTRODUCTION..... 917

    I. – SOCIAL MEDIA’ ROLE IN GEOPOLITICS ..... 919

    II. – SOCIAL MEDIA IN CONFLICT AND MIGRATION ..... 922

    III. – THE ROHINGYA CRISIS ..... 923

    IV. – TWO CASE STUDIES ON SOCIAL MEDIA USE IN THE ROHINGYA  
        CRISIS ..... 925

    V. – CONTENT MONITORING AND PUBLIC REGULATION ..... 928

    VI. – MENTIONS: WHO WERE THE ROHINGYA TRYING TO CONTACT? ..... 933

    VII. – TOPICS: WHAT WERE THE ROHINGYA TWEETING ABOUT?..... 933

    CONCLUSION..... 935

CONCLUSION. LEADING WITHOUT LEADERSHIP: ON AMERICAN  
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE GOVERNANCE ..... 937

SYNTHESIS..... 941