

## TABLE DES MATIÈRES

SUMMARY/SOMMAIRE .....	7
------------------------	---

### AVANT-PROPOS

ÉCHOS DU PASSÉ, PERSPECTIVES D'AVENIR: 60 ANS D'ÉTUDES JURIDIQUES À LIÈGE/ DROIT INSTITUTIONNEL ET PROCÉDURAL – DROIT DE LA CONCURRENCE – DROIT DU MARCHÉ INTÉRIEUR.....	13
---	----

### PART I

## THE ENDURING RELEVANCE OF RENÉ JOLIET'S WORK IN MODERN COMPETITION LAW

### PARTIE I

## LA PERTINENCE DES TRAVAUX DE RENÉ JOLIET DANS LE DROIT MODERNE DE LA CONCURRENCE

IS LAW TOO SERIOUS A MATTER TO BE LEFT EXCLUSIVELY TO JUDGES? TRIBUTE TO RENÉ JOLIET, JUDGE AT THE COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EU (1984-1995) .....	19
INTRODUCTION .....	19
I. THE SCOPE OF ARTICLE 85 EEC.....	22
A. <i>Pronuptia</i> .....	22
B. <i>Woodpulp</i> .....	25
1. The jurisdictional issue .....	26
2. The evidentiary issue.....	30

II. THE SCOPE OF ARTICLE 85/86 <i>JUNCTO</i> ARTICLES 3(F) AND 5(2) EEC..	32
A. <i>INNO v. ATAB</i> (1977).....	32
B. The judgments leading up to <i>Van Eycke</i> (1988).....	36
1. <i>van de Haar</i> (1984).....	37
2. <i>Leclerc v. Au blé vert</i> (1985).....	38
3. <i>Cullet v. Leclerc</i> (1985).....	40
4. <i>Asjes</i> (1986).....	40
5. <i>Vlaamse Vereniging van Reisbureaus (VVR)</i> (1987)..	41
6. <i>BNIC v. Aubert</i> (1987).....	43
7. <i>Van Eycke</i> (1988).....	43
C. <i>Meng and Ohra</i> (1993).....	45
D. <i>Commission v. Italy and Arduino</i> .....	50
1. <i>Commission v. Italy</i> (1998).....	50
2. <i>Arduino</i> (2002).....	51
FINAL REMARKS.....	53
THE RESPECT OF THE PRINCIPLE OF AN OPEN MARKET ECONOMY WITH FREE COMPETITION BY THE EU INSTITUTIONS AND THE MEMBER STATES.....	57
INTRODUCTION.....	57
I. THE PRINCIPLE OF AN OPEN MARKET ECONOMY WITH FREE COMPETITION.....	58
A. Definition.....	59
B. Contents.....	61
C. Material scope.....	64
D. Personal scope.....	65
II. THE RESPECT OF OPEN MARKET ECONOMY – AS AN INTERMEDIARY OBJECTIVE OF THE EU.....	66
A. The respect of the principle of open market economy with free competition as a fundamental value or even a fundamental principle of EU Law.....	67

TABLE DES MATIÈRES	237
III. FUNCTIONS.....	68
A. Promoting function .....	68
B. Restrictive Function.....	68
IV. JUSTICIABILITY?.....	70
A. The <i>Echirolles</i> case – A principle deprived of autonomous productivity .....	70
B. The undermining of a principle of fundamental value .....	71
C. An eventual widened legal scope? .....	72
1. In any event, limits of the ruling <i>Echirolles</i> .....	73
2. Assessing validity in the context of the ECB and ESCB...	73
D. Assessing the Validity of Secondary EU Laws, and of Member State Action .....	76
V. CONCLUSIONS .....	77

**PART II**  
**THE CHALLENGES OF THE DIGITAL**  
**SINGLE MARKET**

**PARTIE II**  
**LES DÉFIS DU MARCHÉ UNIQUE NUMÉRIQUE**

REGULATING TECHNOLOGY THROUGH COPYRIGHT LAW: THE WAY FORWARD FOR BUILDING A DIGITAL SINGLE MARKET?.....	81
I. INTRODUCTION: THE LAWS OF EVERYTHING IN THE DIGITAL WORLD .....	81
II. FOSTERING AI DEVELOPMENT THROUGH TDM EXCEPTIONS.....	86
A. The growing relevance of TDM processes, including from a copyright regulatory standpoint.....	87
B. The TDM E&L in the DSM Directive.....	90
C. Restrictions to the undertaking of TDM processes in a post-DSM Directive world .....	91

III. THE DEVELOPMENT OF ALGORITHMIC REGULATION THROUGH THE FIGHT AGAINST COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT .....	94
A. The premises of “Algorithmic Regulation” in the CJUE Copyright Case-Law .....	95
B. Article 17 DSM Directive: from fighting Copyright Infringement to fixing the Value Gap .....	97
C. Paving the way of “Algorithmic Regulation” with the blessing of the CJEU: from DSM to DSA.....	100
D. Regulating technology or harmonizing through technology?.....	105
IV. CONCLUSION: THE TWO TALES OF COPYRIGHT LAW IN THE DIGITAL SINGLE MARKET.....	113
INTERMEDIARY LIABILITY REGIME IN THE DIGITAL SINGLE MARKET....	115
INTRODUCTION .....	115
I. CONTENT DEPENDANT LIABILITY REGIMES .....	117
A. Copyright infringing content in the DSM strategy.....	117
B. Harmful content in the DSM strategy .....	120
C. Online terrorist content in the DSM strategy .....	122
II. THE LAST PIECE OF THE PUZZLE – THE DSA AND A COMMON NOTICE AND ACTION .....	123
III. THE DSA NOTICE AND ACTION VS THE NOTICE AND TAKE -DOWN/ STAY-DOWN IN ARTICLE 17 OF THE CDSM DIRECTIVE.....	127
CONCLUSION.....	130

**PART III**  
**THE INSTITUTIONAL AND PROCESSUAL**  
**CHALLENGES OF THE UNION**

**PARTIE III**  
**LES DÉFIS INSTITUTIONNELS ET PROCESSUELS**  
**DE L'UNION**

THE RULE OF LAW IN THE EU AND ARTICLE 19 TEU.....	135
JUDICIAL INDEPENDENCE AS A TEMPLATE FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF EUROPEAN VALUES.....	135
I. THE RULE OF LAW IN EU LAW.....	135
A. Treaty provisions.....	136
B. Secondary law and other legal instruments.....	139
C. The value of the rule of law and judicial independence.....	142
II. PROTECTING THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE JUDICIARY THROUGH EU LAW	143
A. Definition of “independence”.....	144
B. The role of Article 19(1) first paragraph TEU.....	147
C. The role of the Charter.....	149
D. The role of the ECHR.....	150
E. New and old structural principles: direct effect, primacy and non-regression.....	152
III. NEW DIRECTIONS IN EU LAW RESULTING FROM THE RULE OF LAW CRISIS.....	154
A. The rule of law as a new “field” of Union Law?.....	154
B. The role of the values as the “very identity” of the EU and the development of an autonomous “constitutional identity” of the EU.....	156
C. The Court of Justice as a guarantor of the rule of law.....	157
IV. CONCLUSION.....	158

L'AUTONOMIE DU DROIT DE L'UNION COMME QUÊTE D'ESPACE CONSTITUTIONNEL : UNE ÉVALUATION CRITIQUE DE LA REVENDICATION DE SOUVERAINETÉ PAR LA COUR DE JUSTICE.....	161
I. LOUVERTURE DU DROIT COMMUNAUTAIRE AU DROIT INTERNATIONAL A ATTEINT UN RECORD BAS.....	164
II. DE L'ÉTAT DE DROIT À L'EMPIRE DU DROIT EUROPÉEN : L'AUTONOMIE COMME SUPER-PRINCIPE DU DROIT DE L'UNION.....	175
III. L'AUTONOMIE DU DROIT DE L'UNION COMME REVENDICATION D'UN « EXCEPTIONNALISME EUROPÉEN » .....	179
CONCLUSION : LE LUXEMBOURG EST-IL LA NOUVELLE ROME ?.....	184
 ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN COMPOSITE PROCEDURES FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EU LAW: THE STORY SO FAR .....	189
INTRODUCTION .....	189
I. TYPES OF COMPOSITE PROCEDURES: TIME TO UPDATE THE OLD TAXONOMIES.....	192
A. A brief history of the taxonomy of composite procedures..	192
B. Horizontal and vertical composite procedures and their implications .....	193
C. Beyond the <i>summa divisio</i> : zooming in on vertical procedures .....	196
D. Beyond the <i>summa divisio</i> : categorisation of composite procedures based on the players .....	197
E. Beyond the <i>summa divisio</i> : categorisation of composite procedures based on functions .....	200
F. Beyond the <i>summa divisio</i> : categorisation of composite procedures based on the type of action .....	203
G. Interim conclusions: the mosaic of composite procedures and its challenges .....	207
II. ACCESS TO JUSTICE IN COMPOSITE PROCEDURES IN THE CASE-LAW OF THE CJEU: MORE QUESTIONS THAN ANSWERS?.....	208
A. Vertical composite procedures concluded with a national measure: not all that glitters is gold.....	208
B. Vertical composite procedures concluded with an EU measure: from <i>Borelli to Berlusconi</i> (and beyond?)....	210

TABLE DES MATIÈRES	241
C. Horizontal procedures: between <i>Berlioz</i> and <i>R.N.N.S.</i> <i>and K.A.</i> .....	214
D. Composite procedures and information sharing: the challenges of “triangularity” .....	218
III. CONCLUSIONS .....	219

## GENERAL CONCLUSION/CONCLUSION GÉNÉRALE

D'UNE « COMMUNAUTÉ DE DROIT » GARANTE D'UNE PROTECTION JURIDICTIONNELLE EFFECTIVE À UNE UNION GARANTE DES VALEURS DE L'ÉTAT DE DROIT.....	223
I. DE LA « COMMUNAUTÉ DE DROIT » À L'« UNION DE DROIT » AU SERVICE D'UNE PROTECTION JURIDICTIONNELLE EFFECTIVE .....	223
II. L'UNION EN TANT QUE PROMOTRICE DES VALEURS LIÉES À L'ÉTAT DE DROIT.....	225
A. La « valeur de l'État de droit » en tant que valeur à protéger en tant que telle .....	226
B. L'Union en tant qu'arbitre des valeurs inhérentes à un État de droit.....	231
CONCLUSION FINALE.....	233
TABLE DES MATIÈRES .....	235