

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

Acknowledgements .....	5
Table of contents .....	7
Foreword .....	19
Abbreviations .....	23

## A.

### The implementation of ATAD/ATAD 2 and other BEPS related tax law changes

A. I. Interest limitation rules .....	29
1. Overview .....	31
2. Key commercial reasons for debt funding .....	31
3. Scope of the Interest limitation rules .....	32
3.1. Personal scope of application .....	32
3.2. Financial undertakings excluded from the scope .....	32
3.3. Standalone entity exception .....	34
3.4. Loans excluded from the scope .....	34
3.4.1. Grandfathering rule .....	34
3.4.2. Long-term public infrastructure loans .....	35
3.5. Checklist: Scope of the interest limitation rules .....	36
4. Mechanism of the interest limitation rules .....	36
4.1. Opening comments .....	36
4.2. Determining exceeding borrowing costs .....	37
4.2.1. General .....	37
4.2.2. Definition of borrowing costs .....	37
4.2.3. Definition of interest revenues .....	38
4.3. The 30% EBITDA limitation and the safe harbour rule .....	38
4.3.1. Determination of the (tax) EBITDA .....	38
4.3.2. The safe harbour rule .....	40
4.3.3. Non-deductibility of interest expenses .....	41

<b>4.4. The escape clause</b> .....	41
4.4.1. General .....	41
4.4.2. Mechanism of the escape clause.....	42
4.4.3. Equity ratio comparison.....	42
4.4.4. Checklist: Application of the escape clause .....	43
<b>4.5. Carry forward mechanisms</b> .....	43
4.5.1. Non-deductible exceeding borrowing costs .....	43
4.5.2. Unused interest capacity.....	43
4.5.3. Corporate reorganisations.....	44
<b>4.6. Checklist: Mechanism of the interest limitation rules</b> .....	44
<b>4.7. Tax consolidation</b> .....	45
4.7.1. General .....	45
4.7.2. Determining exceeding borrowing costs.....	45
4.7.3. The 30% EBITDA limitation and the safe harbour rule .....	45
4.7.4. Carry forward mechanisms .....	47
4.7.5. The escape clause .....	48
4.7.6. Loans excluded from the scope.....	48
4.7.7. Financial undertakings excluded from the scope .....	49
<b>4.8. Checklist: Deductibility of interest</b> .....	49
<b>5. Critical review of the interest limitation rules</b> .....	50
<b>5.1. Opening comments</b> .....	50
<b>5.2. Uncertain outcomes</b> .....	50
<b>5.3. Risk of double taxation</b> .....	51
<b>5.4. Impact on business decision making and international trade</b> .....	53
<b>5.5. Constitutional issues</b> .....	54
<b>A. II. Hybrid mismatch rules</b> .....	57
<b>1. Overview</b> .....	59
<b>2. Scope of the hybrid mismatch rules</b> .....	59
<b>2.1. Opening comments</b> .....	59
<b>2.2. Personal scope of application</b> .....	60
<b>2.3. Mismatch outcomes</b> .....	60
<b>2.4. Related party test</b> .....	62
2.4.1. Definition of associated enterprises .....	62
2.4.2. Aggregation of interests .....	63
2.4.3. Investment funds .....	65
<b>2.5. Hybrid mismatches</b> .....	71
2.5.1. Payments under a financial instrument .....	71
2.5.2. Payments to a hybrid entity .....	73
2.5.3. Payments to an entity with one or more PEs.....	75
2.5.4. Payments to a disregarded PE.....	76

2.5.5. Payments by a hybrid entity.....	77
2.5.6. Deemed payments between the head office and a PE.....	78
2.5.7. Double deductions .....	79
<b>2.6. Hybrid transfers .....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>2.7. Tax residency mismatches.....</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>2.8. Structured arrangements .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>2.9. Imported hybrid mismatches .....</b>	<b>85</b>
<b>2.10. Limits of the hybrid mismatch rules .....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>3. Tax treatment of hybrid mismatches.....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>3.1. Opening comments .....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>3.2. Double deductions .....</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>3.3. Deductions without inclusions .....</b>	<b>98</b>
<b>3.4. Imported hybrid mismatches.....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>3.5. Income derived through a disregarded PE.....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>3.6. Tax residency mismatches .....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>3.7. Hybrid transfers.....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>4. Cooperation duties of the taxpayer.....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>Appendix: Important definitions.....</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>Checklists.....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>1. Related party test (Article 168ter (1) No. 18 of the LITL).....</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>2. Deduction without inclusion (D/NI) outcome (Article 168ter (1) No. 6 of the LITL).....</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>3. Payments under a financial instrument (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 a) of the LITL).....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>4. Payments to a hybrid entity (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 b) of the LITL) .....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>5. Payments to an entity with one or more PEs (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 c) of the LITL).....</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>6. Payments to a disregarded PE (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 d) of the LITL) .....</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>7. Payments by a hybrid entity (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 e) of the LITL).....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>8. Deemed payments between the head office and a PE (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 f) of the LITL).....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>9. Double deductions (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 g) of the LITL) .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>10. Tax adjustments in case of double deductions (Article 168ter (3) No. 1 of the LITL).....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>11. Tax adjustments in case of a deduction without inclusion (Article 168ter (3) No. 2 of the LITL).....</b>	<b>118</b>
<b>12. Structured arrangements (Article 168ter (1) No. 2 a) of the LITL) .....</b>	<b>119</b>

<b>A.III. The reverse hybrid mismatch rule</b> .....	121
1. Overview .....	123
2. Scope of the reverse hybrid mismatch rule .....	123
2.1. Related party test .....	123
2.2. Aggregation of interests .....	124
2.3. Investment funds .....	124
3. Tax treatment of reverse hybrid mismatches.....	126
3.1. Corporate income tax .....	126
3.1.1. Application of the reverse hybrid mismatch rule .....	126
3.1.2. Determination of the tax base of the reverse hybrid entity .....	127
3.1.3. Investment funds .....	130
3.2. Withholding tax .....	132
3.3. Municipal business tax .....	132
3.4. Net wealth tax .....	133
3.5. Transparency for tax purposes.....	133
4. Cooperation duties of the taxpayer .....	133
5. Checklists .....	134
5.1. Checklist: Scope of the reverse hybrid mismatch rule .....	134
5.2. Checklist: Application of the reverse hybrid mismatch rule .....	135
 <b>A. IV. Controlled Foreign Company (CFC) rules</b> .....	 137
1. Overview .....	139
2. Scope of the CFC Rules .....	139
2.1. Personal scope of application .....	139
2.2. Definition of CFCs .....	139
2.3. Associated enterprises .....	140
2.4. CFCs excluded from the scope of the CFC rules .....	142
2.5. Non-distributed profits .....	143
2.6. Non-genuine arrangements .....	143
2.7. Checklist: Scope of the CFC rules .....	146
3. Determination and tax treatment of CFC income.....	147
3.1. Opening comments .....	147
3.2. Determining CFC income .....	147
3.3. Mechanisms for the avoidance of double taxation.....	149
3.4. Managing compliance obligations .....	151
4. CFC Rules in an EU context .....	154

5. Critical review of the CFC rules .....	155
5.1. Opening comments .....	155
5.2. Transfer pricing vs. CFC rules .....	155
5.3. Risk of double taxation .....	156
5.4. Considerations regarding tax treaty override .....	161
<b>A. V. The amended PE definition .....</b>	<b>163</b>
1. Overview .....	165
2. Definition of PEs under tax treaty law .....	165
2.1. Opening comments .....	165
2.2. Essential characteristics of PEs .....	166
2.3. Building sites, constructions and installation projects .....	168
2.4. Preparatory and auxiliary activities .....	169
2.5. Dependent agent PEs .....	169
3. Definition of PEs under Luxembourg tax law .....	170
3.1. Opening comments .....	170
3.2. The general PE definition .....	171
3.3. PE examples listed in Section 16 (2) of the Fiscal Adaptation Law .....	172
3.4. The new provision relating to foreign PEs .....	173
4. Analysis of the new provision and the related Circular .....	173
4.1. Opening comments .....	173
4.2. Considerations regarding Article 23A (4) of the OECD Model .....	174
4.3. The relation between tax treaties and domestic tax law .....	175
4.4. Domestic interpretation of the term “business” .....	176
4.5. Illegitimate tax treaty override .....	177
4.6. Confirmations to be produced by taxpayers .....	178
4.7. Considerations regarding the amended PE definition .....	179
5. Case study: The McDonald’s case .....	180
5.1. Background .....	180
5.2. Tax treatment in Luxembourg and the US .....	181
5.3. Comments of the Luxembourg tax authorities .....	182
5.4. Decision of the EU Commission .....	183
<b>A. VI. The General Anti-Abuse Rule (GAAR) .....</b>	<b>185</b>
1. Overview .....	187
2. Scope of the GAAR .....	187
2.1. Opening comments .....	187
2.2. The use of forms and institutions of law .....	188

2.3. Circumvention or reduction of the tax burden .....	188
2.4. Obtaining a tax advantage being the main purpose or one of the main purposes .....	188
2.5. A tax advantage that runs counter to the object or purpose of the tax law .....	189
2.6. Non-genuine character of the legal remedy .....	190
2.7. Considerations regarding burden of proof .....	190
2.8. Considerations regarding EU Law .....	191
3. Tax consequences of the GAAR .....	192
A. VII. The new IP tax regime .....	193
1. Overview .....	195
2. The Modified Nexus Approach .....	195
3. The new Luxembourg IP regime .....	197
3.1. Scope of the IP regime .....	197
3.1.1. Qualifying taxpayers .....	197
3.1.2. Qualifying IP assets .....	197
3.2. Determination of income receiving tax benefits .....	198
3.2.1. Qualifying IP income .....	198
3.2.2. Qualifying expenditures incurred to develop IP assets .....	199
3.2.3. Considerations regarding outsourcing .....	200
3.2.4. Overall expenditures incurred to develop IP assets .....	200
3.3. Luxembourg tax treatment of qualifying IP income .....	200
3.3.1. (Corporate) income tax .....	200
3.3.2. Municipal business tax .....	200
3.3.2. Net wealth tax .....	201
A. VIII. Financing activities .....	203
1. Overview .....	205
2. Intra-group financing activities covered by the Circular .....	206
2.1. Opening comments .....	206
2.2. Scope of the Circular .....	206
2.3. Substance requirements .....	210
2.4. Equity-at-risk requirement .....	212
2.5. Transfer pricing documentation .....	213
2.6. The risk management function .....	214
3. Application of the arm's length principle .....	216
3.1. Opening comments .....	216
3.2. Comparability analysis .....	216
3.2.1. Introductory comments .....	216

3.2.2. Identification of the commercial and financial relations .....	217
3.2.3. Contractual terms of the transaction .....	217
3.2.4. Functional analysis .....	218
3.2.4.1. General remarks.....	218
3.2.4.2. Analysis of the functions performed .....	219
3.2.4.3. Analysis of the risks in the financial relations .....	221
3.2.4.4. Analysis of the assets used .....	223
3.2.5. Transactions without commercial rationale .....	223
<b>3.3. A remuneration model for financing activities .....</b>	<b>224</b>
3.3.1. Introductory comments.....	224
3.3.2. Determination of the equity at risk.....	224
3.3.2.1. In general .....	224
3.3.2.2. Probability of default (“PD”) .....	225
3.3.2.3. Loss given default (“LGD”) .....	227
3.3.2.4. Exposure at default (“EAD”).....	227
3.3.2.5. Case study .....	228
3.3.3. Determination of the arm’s length return on equity .....	229
3.3.4. Determination of the arm’s length gross remuneration.....	230
<b>3.4. Simplification measure for intermediaries .....</b>	<b>230</b>
<b>4. Advance pricing agreements .....</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>4.1. Opening comments .....</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>4.2. Request for an APA.....</b>	<b>231</b>
<b>4.3. Validity of the confirmation .....</b>	<b>232</b>

## B. Substance requirements

1. Overview .....	235
2. The notion of substance.....	235
3. Requirements from a Luxembourg perspective .....	237
<b>3.1. Managing tax residency .....</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>3.2. Luxembourg finance companies .....</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>3.3. Requirements from a regulatory perspective.....</b>	<b>240</b>
4. Requirements from a foreign tax perspective .....	241
<b>4.1. Anti-abuse legislation.....</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>4.2. Considerations regarding appropriate substance.....</b>	<b>242</b>
<b>4.3. Substance requirements in an EU context .....</b>	<b>243</b>
5. Requirements from a tax treaty perspective.....	245
<b>5.1. The principal purposes test (PPT).....</b>	<b>245</b>
<b>5.2. Beneficial ownership.....</b>	<b>246</b>
<b>5.3. Limitation-on-benefits provision .....</b>	<b>248</b>
<b>5.4. Avoiding unintentional permanent establishments .....</b>	<b>249</b>

6. Requirements from a transfer pricing perspective .....	251
<b>6.1. The arm's length principle</b> .....	251
<b>6.2. Supply chain management</b> .....	252
<b>6.3. Transfer pricing documentation</b> .....	253
7. Reputational risks .....	254

## C. Tax treaty related aspects

1. Overview .....	259
2. The Multilateral Instrument (MLI) .....	259
<b>2.1. Opening comments</b> .....	259
<b>2.2. The Multilateral Instrument at a glance</b> .....	260
2.2.1. Hybrid mismatches .....	260
2.2.2. Tax treaty abuse .....	260
2.2.3. Avoidance of permanent establishment status .....	261
2.2.4. Improving dispute resolution .....	262
2.2.5. Arbitration .....	262
<b>2.3. Double tax treaties entered into before the MLI</b> .....	263
<b>2.4. Domestic and treaty-based doctrines, provisions and practices before the MLI</b> .....	264
<b>2.5. Direct impact of the BEPS Action Plan and the MLI</b> .....	265
2.5.1. Covered tax agreements .....	265
2.5.2. Applicable provisions of the MLI .....	265
2.5.2.1. Transparent entities .....	265
2.5.2.2. Dual resident entities .....	266
2.5.2.3. Application of methods for elimination of double taxation .....	266
2.5.2.4. Preamble .....	267
2.5.2.5. Prevention of treaty abuse .....	267
2.5.2.6. Articles 8 – 12, 14 and 15 of the MLI .....	267
2.5.2.7. Artificial avoidance of permanent establishments ("PE") through the specific activity exemptions .....	268
2.5.2.8. Mutual agreement procedure .....	268
2.5.2.9. Corresponding adjustments .....	269
2.5.2.10. Arbitration .....	270
2.5.3. Interpretation of double tax treaties generally .....	271
<b>2.6. Indirect impact of the BEPS Action Plan and the MLI</b> .....	271
3. The Principal Purposes Test (PPT) .....	273
<b>3.1. Opening comments</b> .....	273
<b>3.2. Application of the PPT in general</b> .....	275
<b>3.3. Non-CIV fund examples in the Commentary to the OECD Model</b> .....	276
3.3.1. General .....	276



3.3.2. A regional investment platform (Example K) .....	276
3.3.3. A securitization vehicle (Example L) .....	277
3.3.4. A real estate fund (Example M) .....	279
<b>3.4. The PPT in an EU context</b> .....	280
<b>3.5. Luxembourg – Location of choice</b> .....	284
3.5.1. Opening comments .....	284
3.5.2. Features of the location .....	284
3.5.3. Substance and corporate governance .....	285
3.5.4. Functional and risk profile .....	286
3.5.5. Commercial and legal reasons .....	287
<b>D. Mandatory disclosure regime</b>	
<b>1. Overview</b> .....	291
1.1. The MDR in Luxembourg .....	291
1.2. Design principles of a mandatory disclosure regime .....	292
1.3. Implementation of DAC 6 in the European Union .....	292
<b>2. Key features of the mandatory disclosure regime</b> .....	293
2.1. Opening comments .....	293
2.2. Reportable arrangements .....	294
2.2.1. General .....	294
2.2.2. Arrangements with a cross-border dimension .....	295
2.2.3. Hallmarks .....	297
2.2.4. Main benefit test ("MBT") .....	298
2.2.5. Checklist: Determining reportable cross-border arrangements .....	298
2.3. Information to be reported .....	299
2.4. Reporting responsibilities .....	300
2.4.1. Intermediaries .....	300
2.4.1.1. General .....	300
2.4.1.2. Primary intermediaries .....	301
2.4.1.3. Secondary intermediaries .....	301
2.4.1.4. The knowledge test .....	302
2.4.1.5. Professional secrecy rules .....	303
2.4.1.6. Residence and Luxembourg nexus .....	304
2.4.2. The relevant taxpayer .....	305
2.5. Overlapping reporting obligations .....	306
2.5.1. General .....	306
2.5.2. Reporting obligations in Luxembourg .....	306
2.5.3. Reporting obligations in different jurisdictions .....	307
2.5.4. Reporting obligations rest with the relevant taxpayer .....	307

<b>2.6. Timing aspects</b> .....	308
2.6.1. Reporting deadlines .....	308
2.6.2. When should potential reporting obligations be considered? .....	309
2.6.3. Considerations regarding arrangements implemented after 25 June 2018 .....	309
2.6.4. Silence cannot be construed as acceptance .....	310
2.6.5. Reporting in the corporate tax returns .....	310
2.6.6. Automatic exchange of information .....	310
<b>2.7. Penalties</b> .....	311
2.7.1. General .....	311
2.7.2. Penalties for non-compliance with the MDR .....	312
2.7.3. Penalties for a violation of professional secrecy obligations .....	312
<b>3. Hallmarks of reportable arrangements</b> .....	315
<b>3.1. Opening comments</b> .....	315
<b>3.2. Hallmarks that are linked to the MBT</b> .....	315
3.2.1. Generic hallmarks linked to the MBT .....	316
3.2.1.1. The “confidentiality” hallmark (A.1.) .....	316
3.2.1.2. The “premium fee” or “contingent fee” hallmark (A.2.) .....	318
3.2.1.3. The “standardized tax product” hallmark (A.3.) .....	319
3.2.2. Specific hallmarks linked to the MBT .....	321
3.2.2.1. The “loss company” hallmark (B.1.) .....	321
3.2.2.2. The “converting income scheme” hallmark (B.2.) .....	322
3.2.2.3. The “circular transactions” hallmark (B.3.) .....	325
3.2.3. Specific hallmarks related to cross-border transactions .....	327
3.2.3.1. The “no or low taxation” hallmark (C.1. b) (i)) .....	327
3.2.3.2. The “exemption from tax” hallmark (C.1. c) .....	330
3.2.3.3. The “preferential tax regime” hallmark (C.1. d) .....	330
3.2.3.4. The definition of associated enterprises .....	330
<b>3.3. Hallmarks that are not linked to the MBT</b> .....	334
3.3.1. Specific hallmarks related to cross-border transactions .....	334
3.3.1.1. The “State-less company” hallmark (C.1. a) .....	334
3.3.1.2. The “black-listed country” hallmark (C.1. b) (ii) .....	334
3.3.1.3. The “double dip” hallmark (C.2.) .....	336
3.3.1.4. The “dual relief” hallmark (C.3.) .....	336
3.3.1.5. The “step-up in value” hallmark (C.4.) .....	337
3.3.2. Specific hallmarks concerning automatic exchange of information and beneficial ownership .....	338
3.3.2.1. The “no CRS reporting” hallmark (D.1.) .....	338
3.3.2.2. The “disguising beneficial owners” hallmark (D.2.) .....	339
3.3.3. Specific hallmarks concerning transfer pricing .....	340
3.3.3.1. The “unilateral safe harbour” hallmark (E.1.) .....	340

3.3.3.2. The “hard-to-value intangibles” hallmark (E.2.) .....	342
3.3.3.3. The “business restructuring” hallmark (E.3.) .....	344
<b>3.4. Checklists: Hallmarks of reportable arrangements</b> .....	<b>346</b>
<b>4. The main benefit test</b> .....	<b>353</b>
<b>4.1. Opening comments</b> .....	<b>353</b>
<b>4.2. Guidance provided by the Luxembourg tax authorities</b> .....	<b>353</b>
<b>4.3. Considerations regarding international investments</b> .....	<b>354</b>
<b>4.4. Developing a reasonable approach</b> .....	<b>355</b>
4.4.1. General .....	355
4.4.2. Demystification of the MBT .....	356
4.4.3. Questions related to the benefits generated .....	358
4.4.3.1. Are the commercial and other benefits more significant than the aggregate amount of tax advantages? .....	358
4.4.3.2. Is it reasonable to consider that the investment would have been made in the absence of the tax benefit? .....	359
4.4.4. Questions related to the object and purpose of the applicable tax legislation .....	359
4.4.4.1. Could the arrangement be tackled based on anti-abuse legislation (GAAR, PPT, etc.)? .....	360
4.4.4.2. Are there genuine commercial reasons for an investment other than benefiting from a tax advantage? .....	361
4.4.4.3. Is the arrangement an artificial arrangement within the meaning of the GAAR? .....	361
4.4.5. Questions related to the legislative intent .....	362
4.4.5.1. Does the tax treatment of the arrangement merely rely on the application of tax law (i.e. explicit tax rules apply) as opposed to taking advantage of (i) the technicalities of a tax system or (ii) of mismatches between two or more tax systems for the purposes of reducing the tax liability? .....	362
4.4.5.2. Is the tax treatment consistent with the intent of the legislator? .....	362
4.4.6. Question related to the knowledge of the tax authorities .....	362
4.4.7. Assessment of the MBT analysis .....	363
<b>4.5. Appendix</b> .....	<b>365</b>
4.5.1. Relevant questions to be asked in relation to the MBT .....	365
4.5.2. Indications that the MBT is (not) met .....	366
4.5.3. The MBT in the system of the MDR: Design of an alternative checklist ....	367

## Appendix

<b>Appendix 1 Circular n°19 dated 22 February 2019 (French version)</b> .....	<b>371</b>
<b>Appendix 2 Circular n°50ter/1 dated 28 June 2019 (French version)</b> .....	<b>375</b>
<b>Appendix 3 Circular n°56/1-56bis/1 dated 27 December 2016 (French version)</b> .....	<b>427</b>

<b>Appendix 4 Circular n°164ter/1 dated 4 March 2020 (French version) .....</b>	<b>437</b>
<b>Appendix 5 Circular n°168bis/1 dated 8 January 2021 (French version)...</b>	<b>461</b>
<b>Appendix 6 Law of 25 March 2020 regarding Reportable Cross-Border Arrangements implementing EU Directive 2018/822/EU (English version) .....</b>	<b>487</b>