TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	5
Foreword	11
Abbreviations	13
I. Introduction	
1. The MDR in Luxembourg	17
2. Design principles of a mandatory disclosure regime	18
3. Implementation of DAC 6 in the European Union	19
II. Key features of the MDR	
1. Overview	23
2. Reportable arrangements	23
2.1. Opening comments	23
2.2. Arrangements with a cross-border dimension	24
2.3. Hallmarks	26
2.4. Main benefit test ("MBT")	26
2.5. Checklist: Determining reportable cross-border arrangements	27
3. Information to be reported	28
4. Reporting responsibilities	29
4.1. Opening comments	29
4.2. Intermediaries	29
4.2.1. General	29
4.2.2. Primary intermediaries	30
4.2.3. Secondary intermediaries	30
4.2.4. The knowledge test	31
4.2.5. Professional secrecy rules	32
4.2.6. Residence and Luxembourg nexus	33
4.3. The relevant taxpayer	33

5.	Overlapping reporting obligations	35
	5.1. Opening comments	35
	5.2. Reporting obligations in Luxembourg	35
	5.3. Reporting obligations in different jurisdictions	35
	5.4. Reporting obligations rest with the relevant taxpayer	36
6.	Timing aspects	37
	6.1. Reporting deadlines	37
	6.2. When should potential reporting obligations be considered?	37
	6.3. Silence cannot be construed as acceptance	38
	6.4. Reporting in the corporate tax returns	38
	6.5. Automatic exchange of information	38
7	Penalties	39
	7.1. Opening comments	39
	7.2. Penalties for non-compliance with the MDR	40
	7.3. Penalties for a violation of professional secrecy obligations	40
	III. The hellmonic of venentable even generate	
	III. The hallmarks of reportable arrangements	
1.	Overview	45
2.	Hallmarks that are linked to the MBT	45
	2.1. Generic hallmarks linked to the MBT	46
	2.1.1. The "confidentiality" hallmark (A.1.)	46
	2.1.2. The "premium fee" or "contingent fee" hallmark (A.2.)	48
	2.1.3. The "standardized tax product" hallmark (A.3.)	49
	2.2. Specific hallmarks linked to the MBT	51
	2.2.1. The "loss company" hallmark (B.1.)	51
	2.2.2. The "converting income scheme" hallmark (B.2.)	52
	2.2.3. The "circular transactions" hallmark (B.3.)	55
	2.3. Specific hallmarks related to cross-border transactions	57
	2.3.1. The "no or low taxation" hallmark (C.1. b) (i))	57
	2.3.2. The "exemption from tax" hallmark (C.1. c)	60
	2.3.3. The "preferential tax regime" hallmark (C.1. d)	60
	2.3.4. The definition of associated enterprises	60
3.	Hallmarks that are not linked to the MBT	64
	3.1. Specific hallmarks related to cross-border transactions	64
	3.1.1. The "State-less company" hallmark (C.1. a)	64
	3.1.2. The "black-listed country" hallmark (C.1. b) (ii))	64
	3.1.3. The "double dip" hallmark (C.2.)	65
	3.1.4. The "dual relief" hallmark (C.3.)	66
	3.1.5. The "step-up in value" hallmark (C.4.)	66

	3.2. Specific hallmarks concerning automatic exchange	07
	of information and beneficial ownership	67
	3.2.1. The "no CRS reporting" hallmark (D.1.)	67
	3.2.2. The "disguising beneficial owners" hallmark (D.2.)	69
	3.3. Specific hallmarks concerning transfer pricing	70 70
	3.3.2. The "hard-to-value intangibles" hallmark (E.2.)	70 71
	3.3.3. The "business restructuring" hallmark (E.3.)	73
1	Checklists: Hallmarks of reportable arrangements	
4.	Checklists: Hallmarks of reportable arrangements	79
	IV. The Main Benefit Test	
1.	Overview	89
2.	Guidance provided by the Luxembourg tax authorities	90
3.	Considerations regarding international investments	91
	Developing a reasonable approach	
	4.1. Opening comments	92
	4.2. Demystification of the MBT	92
	4.3. Questions related to the benefits generated	95
	4.3.1. Are the commercial and other benefits more significant	
	than the aggregate amount of tax advantages?	95
	4.3.2. Is it reasonable to consider that the investment would have been made in the absence of the tax benefit?	96
	4.4. Questions related to the object and purpose of the applicable tax legislation	96
	4.4.1. Could the arrangement be tackled based on anti-abuse legislation (GAAR, PPT, etc.)?	96
	4.4.2. Are there genuine commercial reasons for an investment other than benefiting from a tax advantage?	98
	4.4.3. Is the arrangement an artificial arrangement within the meaning of the GAAR?	98
	4.5. Questions related to the legislative intent	98
	4.5.1. Does the tax treatment of the arrangement merely rely on the application of tax law (i.e. explicit tax rules apply) as opposed to taking advantage of (i) the technicalities of a tax system or (ii) of mismatches between two or more tax systems for the purposes of reducing the tax liability?	99
	4.5.2. Is the tax treatment consistent with the intent of the legislator?	99
	4.6. Question related to the knowledge of the tax authorities	99
	4.7. Assessment of the MBT analysis	100
5.	Appendix	102
	5.1. Relevant questions to be asked in relation to the MBT	102
	5.2. Indications that the MBT is (not) met	103
	5.3. The MBT in the system of the MDR: Design of an alternative	
	checklist	104

V. Case studies

1. The real estate fund	107
1.1. Background	107
1.2. Implementation of the investment platform	110
1.3. Implementation of real estate investments	110
1.4. Management of investments and future exit	118
1.5. Liquidation of Luxembourg companies	118
2. The multinational group	121
2.1. Background	121
2.2. Cash pooling and treasury management	122
2.3. Internal debt funding	125
2.4. External debt funding	134
2.5. Transfer of an intangible asset	137
2.6. The business restructuring	142
Appendix:	
Appendix 1: Text of the law	147
Appendix 2: Unofficial translation of the text of the law	159
Appendix 3: FAQ released by the Luxembourg tax authorities	171
Appendix 4: Council Directive (EU) 2018/822 of 25 May 2018 (DAC 6)	193
Appendix 5: Commission recommendation on aggressive tax planning	211
Appendix 6: Final Report on BEPS Action 12	215