

Acknowledgements..... 5

List of Abbreviations..... 9

Introduction..... 13

    i. Tax certainty needs..... 15

    ii. Tax certainty needs in Luxembourg..... 17

    iii. Relevance of this study..... 19

    iv. Current state of the research and contribution..... 19

    v. Methodology ..... 21

    vi. Thesis structure..... 23

    vii. Terminology..... 24

PART I

Normative framework and theoretical tensions in direct tax legislation

Chapter 1. Constitutional provisions and tax norms ..... 29

    1. – Introduction..... 31

    2. – Luxembourg constitutional evolutions..... 31

        2.1. From the 1815 Vienna Congress to the 1830 Luxembourg Constitution..... 31

        2.2. The 1841 and 1856 Constitutions ..... 33

        2.3. The 1868 Constitution of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg ..... 34

    3. – The 1868 Constitution and the hierarchy of norms ..... 36

        3.1. The Constitution and supranational norms ..... 36

        3.2. The Constitution and the Nassau Family Pact ..... 38

    4. – Constitutional provisions relating to taxes ..... 40

        4.1. The principle of legality in tax matters ..... 40

            4.1.1. *The notion of legislative power*..... 43

            4.1.2. *The notion of law*..... 45

                4.1.2.1. Substantive requirement ..... 45

                4.1.2.2. Formal requirement ..... 47

                4.1.2.3. Constitutionality of laws: *a priori* and *a posteriori* reviews ... 47

            4.1.3. *The notion of tax*..... 49

        4.2. The principle of annuality ..... 50

            4.2.1. *Origin of the constitutional provision* ..... 50

            4.2.2. *Interpretation of Article 100 of the Constitution* ..... 51

            4.2.3. *Application of the provision and reference to income tax law provisions*..... 53

        4.3. The principle of equality..... 54

            4.3.1. *Origin of the constitutional provision* ..... 54

            4.3.2. *Interpretation of Article 101 of the Constitution* ..... 55

4.3.3. Exemptions and privileges under Article 101 .....	58
4.3.3.1. Exemptions and moderation .....	58
4.3.3.2. Public order nature of tax laws .....	60
5. – Origin and evolution of the tax legislation in Luxembourg.....	62
5.1. Application of German procedural laws .....	62
5.2. The disputed validity of tax laws after World War II.....	65
5.3. The income tax law of 1967 and its subsequent amendments .....	67
6. – Conclusion .....	69
<b>Chapter 2. Implementation and interpretation of tax laws in Luxembourg ...</b>	<b>71</b>
1. – Introduction.....	73
2. – Executive powers in tax matters .....	73
2.1. Role of the Grand Duke in tax matters .....	73
2.2. Limitations to the executive powers held by the Grand Duke.....	75
3. – Interpretation of tax laws by the Luxembourg tax authorities.....	77
3.1. Duties of the Luxembourg tax authorities – Historical evolution.....	77
3.2. Organization of the Luxembourg ACD.....	78
3.3. Statutory provisions relating to the interpretation of taxes .....	80
3.3.1. Powers of the Ministry of Finance .....	80
3.3.2. Powers of the director of the ACD.....	81
3.3.3. Powers of tax office managers (préposés).....	82
3.4. Administrative interpretative acts issued by the ACD.....	83
3.4.1. Administrative circular letters .....	85
3.4.2. Advance decisions .....	85
3.4.3. Other forms of interpretation of tax laws.....	86
4. – Judicial bodies competent to hear direct tax disputes.....	87
4.1. The <i>directeur</i> as a first instance tribunal before <i>Corbiau</i> .....	87
4.2. The <i>Conseil d'État</i> as a tax court before <i>Procola</i> .....	88
4.3. Creation of administrative courts.....	90
5. – Interpretation of tax laws by administrative courts.....	91
5.1. Strict interpretation of tax laws .....	91
5.2. <i>Ratio legis</i> and economic interpretation methods .....	94
5.3. Autonomous interpretation of tax laws.....	97
6. – Conclusion .....	98
<b>Chapter 3. Theoretical tensions: legality and taxpayers' legitimate expectations.....</b>	<b>101</b>
1. – Introduction.....	103
2. – Current articulation of constitutional principles of taxation and principles of good administration .....	103
2.1. The prevalence of constitutional provisions relating to tax.....	103
2.2. Limited application of principles of good administration in tax matters.....	105

- 3. – Theory of trust and its expression through the principle of legitimate expectations ..... 109
  - 3.1. Theory of trust in sociological studies ..... 109
  - 3.2. Trust and relevance for this study..... 110
- 4. – Exploring the resolution of theoretical tensions ..... 111
  - 4.1. Theory of principles by Ronald Dworkin..... 112
  - 4.2. Theory of constitutional rights by Robert Alexy..... 113
- 5. – Constitutional value of the principle of legitimate expectations ..... 115
  - 5.1. Extraction of constitutional principles..... 115
  - 5.2. The notion of rule of law inferred from the Constitution..... 119
  - 5.3. The principle of legal certainty as a constitutional norm..... 121
  - 5.4. Recognition of a constitutional principle protecting legitimate expectations..... 124
  - 5.5. Conflicting structural principles and the balancing construction..... 128
- 6. – Democratic accountability, legal uncertainty and judicial overreach..... 129
  - 6.1. Law of Balancing criticism and *contra legem* outcomes..... 129
  - 6.2. Balancing construction and stringent recognition of *contra legem* outcomes..... 131
- 7. – Conclusion ..... 132

PART II

Legal value and enforceability of administrative interpretative acts issued by the ACD

Chapter 4. Collective interpretation of tax laws: a study of administrative circular letters in Luxembourg domestic law ..... 135

- 1. – Introduction..... 137
- 2. – Early tax circulars issued by the Luxembourg tax authorities..... 138
  - 2.1. Circulars on the poll tax and personal tax contributions ..... 138
  - 2.2. First income tax circulars ..... 139
  - 2.3. German *Richtlinien* applicable during the German occupation..... 140
  - 2.4. Common use of administrative circulars by public authorities..... 141
- 3. – Author of administrative tax circulars..... 142
  - 3.1. The legislative division of the ACD ..... 142
  - 3.2. Legal authority to issue tax circulars ..... 144
  - 3.3. Categories of administrative circular letters ..... 146
    - 3.3.1. *Public tax circulars*..... 146
    - 3.3.2. *Internal memoranda* ..... 147
  - 3.4. Format of tax circular letters ..... 147
  - 3.5. Publication of tax circular letters ..... 148
- 4. – Main objectives of tax circulars issued by the ACD ..... 149

4.1. Announcement and interpretation of new legislation.....	150
4.2. Acknowledgment of administrative case-law .....	152
4.3. Formalization of administrative simplification measures.....	155
4.3.1. <i>Tax circulars creating lump-sum expenses</i> .....	155
4.3.2. <i>The circular on the taxation of certain benefits in kinds</i> .....	157
4.4. Formalization of administrative tolerances .....	158
4.4.1. <i>The circular on the taxation of income from volunteer work</i> .	158
4.4.2. <i>The tax circulars on the taxation of rental income</i> .....	159
4.5. Circulars as responses to parliamentary questions.....	160
4.6. Conditions relating to advance decisions.....	161
4.6.1. <i>The 1989 internal note on tax rulings</i> .....	161
4.6.2. <i>The 1989 tax circulars on coordination centers and finance companies</i> .....	162
4.6.3. <i>The transfer pricing circulars</i> .....	162
5. – <i>Ad hoc tax regimes created by tax circulars</i> .....	163
5.1. The ‘impatriate’ circular .....	164
5.1.1. <i>Formalization of the tax practice in favor of certain foreign employees</i> .....	164
5.1.1.1. Aim of the regime.....	164
5.1.1.2. Eligibility for the ‘impatriate’ regime.....	164
5.1.1.3. Exemptions established by the circular .....	165
5.1.1.4. Duration and procedural requirements .....	165
5.1.2. <i>Historical foundation of the ‘impatriate’ circulars</i> .....	166
5.1.3. <i>Discussion on the legality of the circular</i> .....	167
5.1.3.1. Parallel with the Belgian circular on foreign executives.....	167
5.1.3.2. Debatable features of the ‘impatriate’ circular .....	169
5.2. The ‘stock-options circular’ .....	171
5.2.1. <i>Content of the circular and derogatory measures</i> .....	171
5.2.2. <i>Criticism of the regime through parliamentary questions</i> .....	174
5.2.3. <i>Review of the circular on stock-options by the TA</i> .....	176
6. – Legal qualification of administrative circular letters .....	177
6.1. Traditional approach of case-law and legal literature on circulars....	177
6.1.1. <i>Circulars as ‘improper’ norms or ‘opinions’</i> .....	177
6.1.2. <i>Distinction between interpretative and regulatory circulars</i> ...	180
6.1.3. <i>Judicial approach to regulatory circulars</i> .....	181
6.2. Exploring internal effects of circulars .....	184
6.2.1. <i>An internal administrative organization tool</i> .....	185
6.2.2. <i>Obedience duty of civil servants</i> .....	186
6.2.2.1. The 1979 law on the general status of employees of the State .....	186
6.2.2.2. An obedience duty nuanced by case-law.....	189
6.2.2.3. Discretionary powers of tax agents.....	190
6.2.3. <i>Lecturers v The Ministry of Education</i> .....	192
6.2.3.1. Facts of the case.....	192
6.2.3.2. Reference to foreign case-law and legal doctrine.....	193

6.2.3.3. Steps to the qualification of the circular invoked by lecturers .....	195
6.2.3.4. Extraction of a consolidated definition for circular letters ....	196
6.3. Situating external effects of administrative circular letters .....	197
6.3.1. <i>Practical usefulness of circulars for external recipients</i> .....	197
6.3.2. <i>Indirect legal effects of interpretative circulars</i> .....	198
6.3.3. <i>Recognition of the right to invoke interpretative tax circulars</i> .....	200
6.3.3.1. Legality requirement .....	200
6.3.3.2. Publicity requirement .....	203
6.4. Limited enforceability of tax circulars .....	204
6.4.1. <i>Circulars as administrative rules with normative effects</i> .....	204
6.4.2. <i>Shortcomings in the reliance on favorable contra legem circulars</i> .....	206
7. – Conclusion .....	207
<b>Chapter 5. Individual interpretation of tax laws: a study of tax rulings in Luxembourg domestic law</b> .....	209
1. – Introduction .....	211
2. – Informality of the ruling mechanism performed by the ACD .....	211
2.1. First reference to the advance consultation mechanism in the 1965 IFA report .....	212
2.1.1. <i>German theoretical foundations</i> .....	212
2.1.2. <i>A seven-part test to assess the validity of tax rulings</i> .....	215
2.1.3. <i>Distinction between rulings and the WHT consultation mechanism</i> .....	216
2.2. Administrative ‘formalization’ of the ruling procedure .....	217
2.2.1. <i>Context of the 1989 internal note from the directeur des contributions</i> .....	218
2.2.2. <i>Specific instructions to tax agents on the release of rulings</i> ..	219
2.2.3. <i>Enforceability of tax rulings by virtue of the principle of good faith</i> .....	220
2.2.4. <i>Substantive and formal content of the ruling application</i> .....	221
2.3. The Krecké report and its recommendations for the ruling practice .....	221
2.3.1. <i>Relevance and controversy around a ‘missing page’ of the report</i> .....	221
2.3.2. <i>Krecké’s recommendations for strengthening ruling holders’ rights</i> .....	222
2.4. Circulars on intra-group financing transactions .....	223
2.4.1. <i>Requirements to obtain APAs</i> .....	223
2.4.2. <i>Content of APA requests</i> .....	224
2.4.3. <i>Notification of the termination of APAs</i> .....	225
2.5. Parliamentary control, ‘Luxleaks’ and questions on the tax ruling procedure .....	226
2.5.1. <i>Questions on the legality of the ruling practice</i> .....	226

2.5.2. Questions on statistical and financial repercussions of the ruling practice .....	228
3. – Tax rulings in the case-law of domestic courts and the <i>Collart</i> test .....	228
3.1. The <i>Collart</i> test developed by the <i>Comité du Contentieux</i> .....	229
3.2. Enforceability of tax rulings issued to an international partnership of lawyers.....	230
3.2.1. <i>Facts of the case</i> .....	230
3.2.2. <i>Justifications for the change in interpretation</i> .....	231
3.2.3. <i>Application of the Collart test to enforce the tax ruling</i> .....	232
3.3. Enforceability of tax rulings featuring tax ‘goodwills’ .....	234
3.3.1. <i>Common features in the ‘goodwill’ cases</i> .....	234
3.3.2. <i>Main facts of the ‘goodwill’ cases</i> .....	235
3.3.3. <i>Common justifications for the changes in interpretation</i> .....	237
3.3.4. <i>Application of the Collart test to enforce the tax rulings</i> .....	239
3.4. Abuse of law and ‘goodwill’ considerations.....	240
3.5. Enforceability of a tax ruling on the qualification of financial instrument .....	243
3.5.1. <i>Facts of the case</i> .....	243
3.5.2. <i>Silence from the ACD on the existence of a ruling</i> .....	243
3.5.3. <i>Application of the Collart test to enforce the tax ruling</i> .....	244
3.6. Advance decisions considered non-binding by the administrative courts .....	245
3.6.1. <i>Tax rulings issued in the context of tax consolidation                 applications</i> .....	245
3.6.2. <i>Tax rulings and admissibility questions</i> .....	246
3.7. Essential features of the judicial review performed on tax rulings ...	247
4. – Formalization of the ruling practice: insertion of § 29a AO.....	248
4.1. Ministry of Finance’s position paper on tax rulings .....	249
4.1.1. <i>Context to the Governments’ formal position</i> .....	249
4.1.2. <i>Announcements of upcoming legal changes to the ruling                 practice</i> .....	250
4.2. Parliamentary drafting of § 29a AO.....	251
4.2.1. <i>Formalization of taxpayers’ rights in the draft version                 of § 29a AO</i> .....	251
4.2.2. <i>Substantial influence of the Conseil d’État in the drafting                 of § 29a AO</i> .....	253
4.2.3. <i>Provisions maintained despite advisory bodies’ criticism</i> .....	256
4.3. Commentary of the final version of § 29a AO.....	258
4.3.1. <i>Preliminary requirement and competence</i> .....	258
4.3.1.1. <i>Formalization of the right to an answer</i> .....	258
4.3.1.2. <i>Departure from freedom to respond established                         by the 1989 note</i> .....	258
4.3.2. <i>Formalization of the principle of equality</i> .....	259
4.3.2.1. <i>Reference to the constitutional principle of equality</i> .....	259
4.3.2.2. <i>Adoption of the Belgian wording on the principle                         of equality</i> .....	260

4.3.3. <i>Legality requirement of the advance decision</i> .....	261
4.3.3.1. Validity requirements attributable to taxpayers .....	261
4.3.3.2. Validity requirements relating to the legal framework.....	262
4.4. Approach to the new legality requirement introduced in § 29a AO	264
4.4.1. <i>Abandonment of the former prima facie legality of rulings</i> ...	264
4.4.2. <i>Interpretation of the new legality requirement</i> .....	265
4.4.2.1. Silence of the Luxembourg parliamentary materials .....	265
4.4.2.2. Belgian parliamentary work on the legality requirement.....	265
4.4.2.2.1. Tax rulings and the prevalence of the principle of legality .....	266
4.4.2.2.2. Tax rulings and case-law evolution .....	266
4.4.2.2.3. Tax rulings and supranational norms .....	267
4.4.2.2.4. The principle of legitimate expectations in <i>contra legem</i> rulings .....	268
5. – Shortcomings in the enforceability of tax rulings post § 29a AO .....	269
6. – Conclusion .....	270

PART III

Balancing the principle of legality and the need for certainty  
in circulars and advance decisions

Chapter 6. General principles of law in the invocation of interpretative acts issued by the ACD .....	275
1. – Introduction.....	277
2. – Recognition of general principles of law by Luxembourg domestic courts.....	277
2.1. Sources of general principles of law .....	277
2.2. General principles of law in the case-law of the Constitutional court.....	279
2.3. General principles of law in the case-law of administrative courts...	280
2.4. General principles of law in the case-law of civil courts.....	281
3. – The notion of <i>droits acquis</i> in administrative law .....	283
3.1. Origin and attempted definitions of the principle.....	283
3.2. <i>Droits acquis</i> in the case-law of administrative courts .....	286
3.2.1. <i>Formalistic approach of vested rights</i> .....	286
3.2.2. <i>Vested rights and temporal constraints</i> .....	287
3.2.3. <i>Vested rights limitations and enforceability</i> .....	291
3.3. Progressive assimilation to the principle of legitimate expectations.....	294
4. – The principle of legitimate expectations .....	296
4.1. Application of the principle of legitimate expectations in Luxembourg domestic law .....	296

4.1.1. <i>Terminology and development of the principle</i> .....	296
4.1.1.1. First recognition in <i>Melmer</i> .....	297
4.1.1.2. Legal foundations of the principle .....	300
4.1.1.3. Assimilation of the principle to other general principles of law .....	302
4.1.2. <i>Scope and enforceability of the doctrine of legitimate     expectations</i> .....	305
4.1.2.1. Basis for legitimate expectations .....	306
4.1.2.2. Situations unprotected by the doctrine .....	308
4.1.2.2.1. Unlawful administrative representations .....	309
4.1.2.2.2. Unlawful oral clearances .....	314
4.1.2.2.3. Incompetent authorities .....	315
4.1.3. <i>Remedies suggested following the violation of the principle</i> .....	315
4.2. Historical roots and recognition of the principle of legitimate expectations in EU law .....	317
4.2.1. <i>A principle influenced by the German notion of     ‘Vertrauensschutz’</i> .....	317
4.2.2. <i>Recognition within the EU legal order</i> .....	321
4.2.2.1. Progressive recognition by the CJEU .....	321
4.2.2.2. Assessment of expectations and protection requirements ...	323
4.2.3. <i>Limitations to the principle in the CJEU case-law</i> .....	325
4.2.4. <i>Comparative receptions of the principle of legitimate     expectations</i> .....	327
4.2.4.1. Reception of the principle in French case-law .....	328
4.2.4.2. Reception of the principle in Belgian case-law .....	331
4.3. Essential features of the principle in Luxembourg and in the EU .....	333
5. – The principle ‘ <i>tu patere legem quam fecisti</i> ’ .....	335
5.1. Origin and content of the principle .....	335
5.2. Interactions with other principles of administrative law .....	338
5.3. Essential features of the principle in administrative case-law .....	341
6. – Conclusion .....	341
<b>Chapter 7. Current legal and judicial remedies for reliance on <i>contra legem</i> administrative interpretative acts</b> .....	343
1. – Introduction .....	345
2. – Legal remedies for reliance on <i>contra legem</i> administrative interpretative acts .....	345
2.1. Adjustment limitations imposed by § 222 AO .....	346
2.1.1. <i>Notion of fait nouveau and its temporal application</i> .....	346
2.1.2. <i>Length of assessment and § 100a AO as threats         to the safeguards of § 222 AO</i> .....	348
2.2. Remission claims on the basis of § 131 AO .....	350
2.2.1. <i>Relief for inequity in tax collection</i> .....	350
2.2.2. <i>Limited relevance of § 131 AO for frustrated reliance</i> .....	351



3. – Judicial remedy for reliance on <i>contra legem</i> administrative interpretative acts .....	354
3.1. Constitutional separation between administrative and civil courts ..	354
3.2. Legal proceedings before the administrative courts and liability actions .....	355
3.3. Liability action against the State before civil courts .....	357
3.3.1. <i>State liability under the law of 1988</i> .....	357
3.3.2. <i>Uniform interpretation of illegalities and faults by both judicial orders</i> .....	359
3.3.3. <i>Grounds for liability action in the case of frustrated reliance</i>	361
3.3.3.1. Erroneous interpretation of the law by the ACD .....	361
3.3.3.2. Correct interpretation of the law by the ACD.....	362
3.3.3.3. Doctrine of legitimate expectations before civil courts.....	365
3.4. Limitation to the damages invocable under State aid rules.....	366
3.4.1. <i>Aid recovery and legitimate expectations before the EU courts</i> .....	366
3.4.1.1. Meaning of State aid in the context of administrative interpretative acts .....	366
3.4.1.2. Application of the doctrine of legitimate expectations in State aid .....	369
3.4.2. <i>Claim for damages and legitimate expectations before national courts</i> .....	373
3.4.2.1. Competence of national courts in compensation claims.....	373
3.4.2.2. National courts awarding damages in State aid cases .....	375
4. – Conclusion .....	376
<b>Chapter 8. Normative proposals for the protection of taxpayers' legitimate expectations in <i>contra legem</i> tax circulars and advance decisions.....</b>	<b>379</b>
1. – Introduction.....	381
2. – Evolution of the CA in the protection of legitimate expectations in <i>contra legem</i> outcomes.....	381
2.1. Financial aid erroneous payments and legitimate expectations.....	382
2.1.1. <i>Facts of the case</i> .....	382
2.1.2. <i>Reasoning of the Court to uphold the doctrine of legitimate expectations</i> .....	383
2.1.3. <i>Application of the principle in a contra legem outcome</i> .....	383
2.2. Unlawful child-care service vouchers and the principles of certainty and realism .....	385
2.2.1. <i>Facts of the case</i> .....	385
2.2.2. <i>Reasoning of the court on the principles applied</i> .....	386
2.2.3. <i>Reception of the decision in Luxembourg</i> .....	387
2.3. Application of the principle of legitimate expectations in <i>contra legem</i> situations .....	388
3. – Protection of the reliance placed in unlawful tax circulars through the insertion of a provision into the <i>Abgabenordnung</i> .....	389
3.1. Rationale for the insertion of a legal provision into the AO.....	389

3.1.1. <i>Limited enforceability of circulars and restricted doctrine of legitimate expectations</i> .....	389
3.1.2. <i>Relevance of a legal provision to ensure reliance on contra legem circulars</i> .....	391
3.2. Protection of taxpayers' legitimate expectations in tax circulars in French law.....	393
3.2.1. <i>Safeguards contained in Article 80 A LPF</i> .....	393
3.2.2. <i>Historical developments at the origin of Article 80 A LPF</i> .....	397
3.2.3. <i>Claims of unconstitutionality and judgement of the French Conseil d'État</i> .....	398
3.2.4. <i>Strict application of the protection offered by Article 80 A LPF</i> .....	400
3.2.5. <i>Interpretation of Article 80 A LPF in light of EU law</i> .....	402
3.3. Union Customs Code and the protection of legitimate expectations.....	404
3.3.1. <i>Content of the initial version of Article 220(2)b</i> .....	404
3.3.2. <i>Extension of the protections enshrined in (former) Article 220(2)(b)</i> .....	406
3.3.3. <i>Diligent economic operator standard</i> .....	407
3.4. Application of both mechanisms in unlawful outcome.....	409
3.5. Normative recommendation to protect reliance on <i>contra legem</i> tax circulars.....	409
3.5.1. <i>Scope of application of the legal provision into the Abgabenordnung</i> .....	409
3.5.2. <i>Location and suggested wording of the provision in the AO</i> .....	412
3.5.3. <i>Conformity of the provision with superior norms</i> .....	413
4. – Protection of the reliance placed in unlawful tax rulings via a revision of the <i>Collart</i> test.....	415
4.1. Rationale for the revision of the <i>Collart</i> test.....	415
4.1.1. <i>Initial enforcement of advance decisions through the principle of good faith</i> .....	415
4.1.2. <i>Current enforcement of advance decisions through the principle of legality</i> .....	417
4.2. The Swiss approach to protecting taxpayers' legitimate expectations in tax rulings.....	418
4.2.1. <i>A protection rooted in the principle of good faith</i> .....	418
4.2.2. <i>Five-part test applied by Swiss courts to enforce contra legem tax rulings</i> .....	419
4.3. The protection of <i>contra legem</i> advance decisions by Dutch national courts.....	423
4.3.1. <i>Progressive recognition of unwritten principles of good administration</i> .....	423
4.3.2. <i>Conditional contra legem enforcement of advance tax decisions</i> .....	425
4.4. Information request and the reasonably prudent economic agent by the CJEU.....	428
4.4.1. <i>Facts of the case</i> .....	428

4.4.2. <i>Application of the principle of legitimate expectations to a VAT information request</i> .....	429
4.4.3. <i>Legitimate expectations and diligent economic trader standard</i> .....	430
4.5. Normative recommendation to protect reliance on <i>contra legem</i> tax rulings.....	433
4.5.1. <i>Balancing legality and certainty requirements</i> .....	433
4.5.2. <i>Reformulation of the Collart test</i> .....	435
5. – Conclusion .....	438
<b>Summary of findings</b> .....	441
i. A differentiated application of the doctrine of legitimate expectations.....	442
ii. Recognition of the constitutional value of the principle of legitimate expectations.....	444
iii. Normative proposal for reliance on unlawful tax circulars .....	447
iv. Normative proposal for reliance on unlawful tax rulings.....	449
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	453
<b>Table of legal acts</b> .....	475
<b>Table of foreign legal and administrative acts</b> .....	483
<b>Table of case-law</b> .....	487
<b>Table of reports – studies</b> .....	513
<b>Table of parliamentary questions/replies</b> .....	519
<b>Table of parliamentary material</b> .....	523
<b>Table of annual administrative and judicial reports</b> .....	527
<b>Table of circulars</b> .....	531